

United States Patent and Trademark Office

186

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,957	06/27/2003	Chad Harold Mace	82063	3081	
23492 ROBERT DEB	7590 01/29/2007 ERARDINE	EXAMINER			
ABBOTT LABORATORIES			WEBB, SARAH K		
100 ABBOTT I DEPT. 377/AP6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008			3731		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAVS		01/29/2007	DAD.	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Office Action Summary		10/607	7 ,957	MACE, CHAD H.	MACE, CHAD HAROLD				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		Sarah	K. Webb	3731					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI ions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statut to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be preceived by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ory period will apply an , by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🖾 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 October 2</u>	006.						
•==	·	☐ This action i							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
, — C	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)× (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□ (Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers		·						
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)∐ T	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internationa	·							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				•	•				
Attachment(s) .			·					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 				No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 10/16/06 is acknowledged.

 Applicant failed to elect a species as a set forth in the prior action.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - a. Figures 1-17
 - b. Figures 18 and 19

The species are independent or distinct because each embodiment comprises different components that necessitate separate and distinct consideration and searches of prior art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,957

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKW 1/10/07 Julian M. Moo